



# Critical Appraisal of the Medical Literature - Making Data Relevant to Your Practice

Victoria A Cargill, M.D., M.S.C.E.

Office of AIDS Research

NIH



# Learning Objectives

- Articulate how to approach the review of a medical article and determine its relevance to their practice
- Determine if the conclusions drawn from an article about therapy or treatment are appropriate and relevant to their specific patient or practice
- Identify resources to further their knowledge of critical appraisal skills of the medical literature
- Review HIV treatment articles for the recruitment methods and study population to determine if the article addresses their unique practice or patient-related question

# Disclosures

Dr. Cargill has no financial disclosures to make.





# Part 1. What is critical appraisal and why learn it?

Critical Appraisal is the critical review of published research data to determine its relevance to a specific clinical question, situation or patient circumstance



# Why Learn Critical Appraisal Skills?

- To better understand the published literature.
- To determine the relevance of a particular treatment intervention or option for a patient.
- To assess specific practice guidelines, and determine their validity.
- To assist with patient care and management.
- To show off...



## Part II. Approaching the article

- 1. Get your “bearings”. It is best to have some background knowledge of the subject, if not check a quick review so you know the basics.
  - Example – 50% of MI victims die before reaching the hospital. Thus most studies of MI treatments already are biased in the direction of survivors. *Duh...*
- Question #1 – WHY was the study done and WHAT clinical question were they trying to address?
  - *Is this question relevant to your practice?*



## Part II. Approaching the article - 2

- Remember many published papers have *significant* methodological flaws
- **Question #2: What type of study was done? Is this primary research (original question) or secondary (summary or synthesis of the data)?**
- If primary research: Are patient demographics provided? Is there a description of how patients were recruited and retained? Are the subjects all accounted for and is there an intention to treat analysis? Type of patient grouping?



## Part II. Approaching the article - 3

- Was the study design appropriate for the research?
  - Therapy: drug treatments or surgical interventions; RCT preferred
  - Diagnosis: new diagnostic test – cross sectional design is preferable
  - Causation: is a putative agent harmful; case control or cohort studies, or if rare enter the care report

# Approaching the article - 4

- Study Design Terms to know:
  - Parallel group comparison
  - Paired (or matched) comparison
  - Within subject comparison
  - Single blind trial
  - Double blind trial
  - Crossover design





# The Hierarchy of Evidence

- Systematic reviews or meta-analysis
- Randomized controlled trials\*
- Cohort studies
- Case control studies (Trohoc studies)
- Cross sectional surveys
- Case reports

*\* In this assessment definitive results trump non-definitive*



# Studies we will review

- **HPTN 052**
  - review the design what the participants received – compare this to your practice
- **Outcomes** – without giving it away – look closely at the data.
  - A free coffee to the person who is first to identify an important subtext finding from the study. *HINT*: look at the seroconverters



# Studies we will review

- **The “Quad” Pill**

- review the setting of the participants– compare this to your practice

- **Outcomes**

- Was their an ITT (Intention to Treat Analysis)?
- Are all the subject accounted for?
- Who funded the study and what was their role?
- Is that role a concern?
- Does the patient population resemble yours?

# The “Quad” Pill -2

- Side effect profile?
- Virologic suppression?
- Your bottom line





# Summary

- Critical appraisal is an important skill
- It takes time and practice
- AND PRACTICE
- Did I say practice?
- Introduce it in journal clubs
- Teach others
- Not all that is *published* is valid
- Not all that is *noteworthy* is published – bias against negative studies
- Always ask if the question is relevant to your situation/practice.